



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,599	07/10/2003	William P. Hnat	106684.000001	3471
23828	7590 08/20/2004		EXAMINER	
JAMES C. EAVES JR.			MILLER, TAKISHA S	
GREENEBAUM DOLL & MCDONALD PLLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3500 NATIONAL CITY TOWER			THE STATE	
101 SOUTH FIFTH STREET			2855	
LOUISVILLE	E V V 40202			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/616,599	HNAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Takisha Miller	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, -					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration. or election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
. apor 110(0)/111an Date 10/17/00.	٠, ٢, ٥, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١,					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3,6,7,9-11,14,16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brockway et al. (hereinafter Brockway).
 - a. With respect to claims 1,11,16 and 17, Brockway teaches a system (105) for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element comprising a sensor (305) for measuring strain in said element, producing an electrical signal representative thereof; a telemetry circuit (310) electrically coupled to said sensor (305) for encoding and transmitting the signal representative of strain; a reader module (140) remotely located from said sensor (305) and said telemetry circuit (310) for receiving the signal representative of strain (Figs. 1-3a)(Col. 9, lines 45-49) and a control module (200) in communication with said reader module (140) for storing and processing the signal representative of strain (Col. 7, line 58 Col. 8, line 7).
 - b. With respect to claim 2, Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element further comprising a housing (300) for encapsulating said sensor (305) and said telemetry circuit (310)(Fig.3a).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,599

Art Unit: 2855

c. With respect to claims 3 and 14, Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element wherein said housing (300) is comprised of biocompatible material capable of implantation a living organism (Col.8, lines 15-16).

Page 3

- d. With respect to claim 6, Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element wherein said sensor (305) for measuring strain is a surface acoustic wave sensor (Col. 15, lines 1-4).
- e. With respect to claim 7, Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element wherein said sensor (305) for measuring strain is a miniaturized strain gauge (Col. 9, lines 36-37).
- f. With respect to claims 9 and 10, Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element wherein said reader module (140) further comprises a memory card for storing sensor data (Col. 7, lines 50-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4,5,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockway et al. (6,409,674) in view of Ericson et al. (6,533,733)(hereinafter Ericson). Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element comprising a sensor (305) but lacks teaching the sensor is a cantilever beam type capacitive sensor. Ericson teaches cantilever beam type sensors (Col. 6, lines 55-62). It would have been

Art Unit: 2855

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brockway to include a cantilever beam type capacitive sensor as taught by Ericson in order to effectively measure strain/pressure using a variety of art equivalent sensing means which are readily available in the art (see Ericson; Col. 6, lines 55-62).

5. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockway et al. (6,409,674) in view of Lebel et al. (hereinafter Lebel). Brockway teaches a system for measuring and remotely monitoring strain in an element comprising a housing (300) but lacks teaching the housing being substantially annular. Lebel teaches a housing (6) being substantially annular (Fig. 1a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Brockway to include an annular housing as taught by Lebel in order to more favorably be implanted in various living organisms (see Lebel; Col. 20, lines 47-50).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,599 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD LEPKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800